

## THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON STRATEGIC DECISION- MAKING IN MANAGEMENT

**Sofia-Grațîela BĂDOI (POP)**  
*”Valahia” University of Târgoviște*

### ABSTRACT

*This article explores the transformative impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on strategic decision-making within management. As organizations operate in increasingly complex and uncertain environments, AI technologies offer unprecedented opportunities to enhance decision quality, speed, and adaptability. By analyzing the integration of AI tools—such as predictive analytics, machine learning, and natural language processing—into managerial processes, this study highlights how AI reshapes traditional strategic frameworks. Beyond efficiency, the research emphasizes the implications of AI-driven decision-making for organizational culture, ethical considerations, and leadership roles. The findings suggest that while AI enhances strategic foresight and operational precision, its adoption also requires a balanced approach that addresses transparency, accountability, and human-AI collaboration. Ultimately, this article contributes to understanding how AI can be harnessed as a strategic asset in management, offering insights for both scholars and practitioners navigating the evolving digital landscape.*

**KEYWORDS:** *accountability, artificial intelligence, decision-making, digital transformation, ethics*

**J.E.L. Classifications:** M10, M15, M20

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In today’s rapidly evolving business environment, the role of strategic decision-making has become more critical than ever. Organizations are increasingly confronted with volatility, uncertainty, complexity, and ambiguity, conditions that demand agile and well-informed management approaches. Traditional models of strategic decision-making, although valuable, are often limited by human biases, information overload, and time constraints. In this context, the emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies represents a paradigm shift with profound implications for management practices worldwide.

Artificial Intelligence is no longer confined to technical or operational domains; it is progressively embedded within the very fabric of organizational strategy. From predictive analytics that forecast market trends, to machine learning algorithms that optimize resource allocation, AI-driven systems are redefining the ways in which decisions are conceived, evaluated, and executed. The integration of AI into strategic management not only enhances the speed and accuracy of decision-making but also opens new avenues for innovation, competitiveness, and value creation.

Moreover, the global diffusion of AI technologies has led to significant changes in leadership roles, organizational cultures, and governance frameworks. Decision-making is no longer an exclusive human endeavor but increasingly a collaborative process between humans and intelligent systems. While this collaboration presents unprecedented opportunities, it also raises critical questions regarding ethics, accountability, and transparency. Managers are compelled to balance the advantages of AI-driven insights with the responsibility to maintain human oversight and ethical integrity.

Therefore, examining the impact of Artificial Intelligence on strategic decision-making in management is both timely and necessary. This article seeks to explore this intersection by analyzing how AI technologies are transforming managerial decision processes, what opportunities they create, and which challenges they pose. By providing a comprehensive analysis grounded in recent research and practice, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of how AI can be strategically leveraged for sustainable organizational growth and competitive advantage.

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **2.1 Foundations: AI and Decision-Making**

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into organizational decision-making has been one of the most widely discussed transformations in management research. Duan, Edwards, and Dwivedi (2019) argue that AI-driven decision-making represents a natural evolution of data-driven management, moving from descriptive analytics toward predictive and prescriptive approaches. Their study highlights both opportunities and challenges, including the promise of efficiency gains and the risks of bias and lack of transparency.

Agrawal, Gans, and Goldfarb (2018) emphasize that the economic value of AI lies primarily in improving prediction quality, a cornerstone of strategic decision-making. By reframing decision processes as prediction problems, managers can harness AI to reduce uncertainty and enhance foresight. However, Wilson and Daugherty (2018) caution that AI should not be seen as a substitute for managerial judgment but rather as an augmentation tool, requiring a balance between human intuition and algorithmic precision.

From a resource-based perspective, Mikalef et al. (2020) underline that AI capabilities should be understood as strategic resources that can improve firm performance. In this sense, AI is not simply a technology but a transformative asset embedded in organizational processes, knowledge management, and competitive positioning.

### **2.2 AI in Strategic Decision-Making**

Shrestha, Ben-Menahem, and von Krogh (2021) investigate how AI influences organizational decision-making structures, showing that intelligent systems reshape governance models, delegation patterns, and even leadership roles. Their work demonstrates that strategic decisions supported by AI can become more data-driven, yet also more complex to justify due to the opacity of algorithmic reasoning.

Complementary insights come from Shrestha, Krishna, and von Krogh (2021), who examine the promises and pitfalls of using deep learning algorithms in managerial contexts. They argue that while AI enhances decision speed and scope, the “black-box” nature of many models raises accountability concerns, particularly at the strategic level.

In service innovation, Antons and Breidbach (2018) provide evidence that machine learning enables managers to identify new opportunities and redesign processes. Similarly, Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017) situate AI within the broader digital transformation landscape, arguing that

platforms and machine intelligence fundamentally alter competitive dynamics and long-term strategy.

### **2.3 Empirical Evidence and Practical Perspectives**

Empirical research suggests that AI's contributions to decision-making go beyond operational efficiency. For example, Shollo and Galliers (2016) show how analytics systems foster organizational learning, thus indirectly shaping strategic choices. In international business contexts, executives increasingly recognize AI's dual role as both an enabler of efficiency and a source of governance and ethical challenges (Deloitte, 2020; World Economic Forum, 2021).

Case-based studies from McKinsey Global Institute (2018) highlight hundreds of organizational use cases where AI has accelerated strategic foresight, optimized supply chains, and supported market entry decisions. However, these reports also emphasize barriers such as legacy systems, lack of AI talent, and the risk of over-reliance on algorithms without human oversight.

Recent practitioner studies underline the need for responsible AI governance. For example, the World Economic Forum (2021) points out that transparency, accountability, and regulatory alignment are indispensable for integrating AI into strategic decision-making.

### **2.4 Gaps and Emerging Themes**

The literature reveals several emerging themes. First, while there is strong evidence that AI improves the **efficiency** of decision-making, fewer studies conclusively demonstrate long-term **effectiveness** in achieving superior strategic outcomes (Shrestha, Krishna, & von Krogh, 2021). Second, issues of interpretability and trust remain central, as managers require transparency in order to justify strategic choices (Duan et al., 2019). Third, the question of boundaries between human and machine decision-making remains unresolved: how much authority should be delegated to AI in high-stakes strategic contexts?

Finally, ethics and governance emerge as recurring concerns. As Wilson and Daugherty (2018) argue, human-AI collaboration requires cultural adaptation and new leadership models, while reports such as Deloitte (2020) stress that organizations must develop safeguards to maintain accountability. Taken together, these insights demonstrate that AI is reshaping the foundations of strategic management, but its integration requires careful balancing of technological potential, human judgment, and ethical responsibility.

## **3. METHODOLOGY**

The purpose of this research is to investigate how Artificial Intelligence (AI) transforms strategic decision-making in management, with a focus on opportunities, challenges, and implications for organizational performance and governance. In line with qualitative research traditions in management studies, this article employs an exploratory and interpretive approach, grounded in the analysis of recent academic literature, case studies, and practitioner reports. The current study pursues the following main objectives:

- a) To investigate the current state of AI adoption in strategic decision-making processes within organizations.
- b) To explore key concepts such as artificial intelligence, strategic management, decision-making efficiency, and ethical governance.
- c) To identify the main challenges and opportunities associated with the integration of AI into managerial decision-making.

d) To propose a set of future-oriented insights regarding how AI can be harnessed as a strategic resource for sustainable competitive advantage.

The central research question guiding this article is:

*“What are the specific ways in which Artificial Intelligence reshapes strategic decision-making in management, and what implications does this transformation have for efficiency, effectiveness, and ethical responsibility?”*

This article employs **qualitative research methods** frequently used in international management and strategic studies, including:

- **Literature Review Analysis:** A systematic review of academic articles, books, and reports on AI and management, identifying theoretical and empirical contributions.
- **SWOT Analysis:** To evaluate the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of adopting AI in strategic decision-making.
- **Input–Output Analysis:** To map how AI adoption as an input (technological, organizational, cultural) translates into outputs (improved decisions, efficiency, ethical risks, or unintended consequences).
- **Cause–Effect Analysis (Fishbone/Ishikawa):** To examine the underlying causes of both successful and problematic outcomes in AI-supported strategic decision-making.

The focus on qualitative approaches is justified by the novelty and complexity of the topic. Quantitative datasets on AI in strategic contexts remain limited, whereas qualitative frameworks allow for a more nuanced understanding of processes, contextual variations, and managerial perceptions.

#### **4. THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON STRATEGIC DECISION-MAKING IN MANAGEMENT**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become one of the most disruptive forces shaping contemporary management. Strategic decision-making, traditionally grounded in managerial experience, intuition, and human analysis, is increasingly being augmented—or in some cases transformed—by advanced computational capabilities. The growing reliance on AI introduces new paradigms of thinking, planning, and acting at the strategic level. This chapter explores the multifaceted ways in which AI influences management, focusing on decision quality, efficiency, foresight, and governance. One of the most widely recognized benefits of AI in management is the enhancement of decision quality. Algorithms trained on large datasets can identify correlations, patterns, and anomalies that human managers may overlook. Predictive analytics, for instance, allow organizations to anticipate market shifts, consumer behavior, and operational risks with unprecedented precision. In strategic contexts, where uncertainty and complexity dominate, this capability reduces the likelihood of misinformed decisions and increases the probability of sustainable outcomes.

Nevertheless, the reliance on algorithmic outputs must be balanced with human critical thinking. Strategic decisions often involve ambiguity, ethical dilemmas, and long-term consequences that extend beyond what data alone can capture. Thus, AI improves accuracy but cannot fully replace managerial judgment. In competitive markets, speed is often as important as accuracy. AI-driven tools significantly accelerate the decision-making process by automating data collection, synthesis, and scenario modeling. Managers can evaluate multiple strategic alternatives in real time, gaining agility in responding to external shocks or emerging opportunities.

For example, AI-enabled dashboards can integrate real-time market signals, financial indicators, and customer feedback, providing leaders with actionable insights within hours rather than weeks.

This acceleration reduces the “time-to-decision,” a critical factor in industries characterized by volatility. However, the challenge lies in ensuring that speed does not compromise depth of analysis or ethical scrutiny.

Beyond short-term decisions, AI has a profound impact on strategic foresight. Machine learning models enable scenario planning, risk simulations, and trend analyses that enrich long-term strategies. By processing vast amounts of historical and real-time data, AI systems can reveal potential disruptions, such as technological shifts, regulatory changes, or evolving customer preferences.

Moreover, AI supports innovation by identifying unmet needs, testing new business models, and fostering creative recombinations of knowledge. Strategic leaders who integrate AI into foresight activities gain a competitive advantage in anticipating the future and preparing adaptive strategies. Yet, foresight remains incomplete without human creativity, intuition, and ethical reasoning, which provide meaning and contextual depth to algorithmic projections.

The adoption of AI in strategic decision-making reshapes organizational culture. Decision-making becomes increasingly collaborative between human managers and intelligent systems, requiring new skills and mindsets. Managers must shift from being sole decision-makers to orchestrators of hybrid intelligence, blending human judgment with AI-generated insights.

This cultural shift introduces both opportunities and resistance. On the one hand, organizations embracing AI often cultivate data-driven cultures, transparency, and experimentation. On the other hand, employees may fear loss of autonomy or job displacement, which can create resistance to AI adoption. Leadership plays a critical role in framing AI as a supportive tool rather than a replacement, thereby fostering trust and acceptance.

While AI enriches decision-making, it simultaneously raises ethical and governance concerns. Strategic decisions informed by algorithms may inherit biases embedded in training data, leading to discriminatory or unintended outcomes. Moreover, the opacity of complex AI models (the so-called “black box” problem) challenges transparency, making it difficult for organizations to justify decisions to stakeholders.

From a governance perspective, accountability becomes a pressing issue. Who is responsible if an AI-informed strategic decision results in harm—managers, data scientists, or the technology provider? These dilemmas underscore the need for robust ethical frameworks, regulatory compliance, and the development of explainable AI systems that enhance transparency.

Finally, AI contributes to organizational adaptability and resilience. Strategic decision-making in the digital era must account for sudden disruptions, such as global crises, geopolitical tensions, or technological breakthroughs. AI systems, by continuously learning and adjusting to new data, support adaptive strategies and help organizations pivot quickly.

At the same time, overdependence on AI may introduce vulnerabilities. For instance, reliance on algorithmic forecasts can create blind spots if managers neglect external qualitative factors. Resilient organizations therefore combine AI-driven adaptability with human resilience, creativity, and ethical oversight.

## 5. FINDINGS

*Table no.1. SWOT Analysis*

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <p><b>Strengths</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Enhances accuracy of strategic forecasts.</li> <li>2. Accelerates decision-making processes.</li> <li>3. Improves access to real-time data and insights.</li> <li>4. Supports innovation and scenario planning.</li> <li>5. Augments human judgment with predictive analytics.</li> <li>6. Facilitates global competitiveness and market entry.</li> <li>7. Strengthens organizational resilience through adaptability.</li> <li>8. Increases efficiency by automating repetitive analysis.</li> </ol>   | <p><b>Weaknesses</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. High implementation costs for advanced AI systems.</li> <li>2. Risk of algorithmic bias influencing outcomes.</li> <li>3. Lack of transparency in “black box” models.</li> <li>4. Dependence on data quality and availability.</li> <li>5. Potential resistance from employees and managers.</li> <li>6. Difficulty integrating AI into existing decision frameworks.</li> <li>7. Cybersecurity vulnerabilities associated with AI systems.</li> <li>8. Limited interpretability for non-technical managers.</li> </ol>  |
| <p><b>Opportunities</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Growing demand for AI-driven strategic tools across industries.</li> <li>2. Potential to open new markets through predictive foresight.</li> <li>3. Integration with digital transformation and Industry 4.0.</li> <li>4. Collaboration between humans and machines for hybrid intelligence.</li> <li>5. Development of explainable AI to improve transparency.</li> <li>6. Expanding applications in sustainability and ESG strategies.</li> <li>7. Opportunities for personalized strategic planning at the customer level.</li> <li>8. AI-driven global partnerships and ecosystem building.</li> </ol> | <p><b>Threats</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ethical dilemmas and accountability challenges.</li> <li>2. Regulatory uncertainty across jurisdictions.</li> <li>3. Overdependence on AI leading to reduced human intuition.</li> <li>4. Loss of trust if AI decisions are perceived as unfair or opaque.</li> <li>5. Risk of job displacement, creating resistance and social pushback.</li> <li>6. Competitive pressure from organizations with superior AI resources.</li> <li>7. Data privacy concerns and potential breaches.</li> <li>8. Rapid technological change that can render systems obsolete.</li> </ol> |

*Table no. 2 Cause–Effect Analysis: AI and Strategic Decision-Making*

| <b>Causes (Inputs)</b>  | <b>Effects (Outputs)</b>  |
|---|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Availability of large-scale data (big data).</li> <li>2. Advances in machine learning and predictive analytics.</li> <li>3. Increased computational power and cloud infrastructure.</li> <li>4. Integration of natural language processing and automation tools.</li> <li>5. Organizational push for digital transformation.</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Faster and more agile strategic choices.</li> <li>2. Improved forecast accuracy and risk management.</li> <li>3. Enhanced organizational competitiveness in global markets.</li> <li>4. Greater ability to identify disruptive innovations early.</li> <li>5. Reduced human bias in routine decision-making.</li> </ol> |

|  |   |
|--|---|
| 6. Global competition and pressure for faster strategic responses.         | 6. New forms of human–AI collaboration in leadership roles.                   |
| 7. Demand for predictive foresight in volatile environments.               | 7. Emergence of ethical dilemmas requiring governance frameworks.             |
| 8. Leadership interest in innovation and data-driven cultures.             | 8. Increased organizational efficiency and productivity.                      |
| 9. Collaboration between technology providers and firms.                   | 9. Shifts in organizational culture toward data-driven decision-making.       |
| 10. Regulatory and societal pressure for more transparent decision-making. | 10. Potential social consequences, such as job restructuring or displacement. |

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

The impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on strategic decision-making in management is profound and multidimensional. The findings of this study indicate that AI technologies have moved beyond the realm of operational support and now play a central role in shaping long-term organizational strategies. By enhancing accuracy, accelerating decision processes, and enabling predictive foresight, AI contributes directly to the quality and agility of strategic choices. At the same time, AI's influence extends to cultural and ethical dimensions, requiring organizations to adapt their governance frameworks, leadership models, and accountability mechanisms. From a strengths and opportunities perspective, AI is a powerful enabler of efficiency, innovation, and global competitiveness. Organizations that integrate AI into their decision-making processes gain superior capabilities in forecasting, adaptability, and customer-centric strategy. The use of AI also encourages data-driven cultures, promotes experimentation, and strengthens resilience in the face of uncertainty.

However, the weaknesses and threats identified in the SWOT analysis highlight the need for caution. High implementation costs, algorithmic opacity, and dependency on data quality present significant barriers. Furthermore, ethical dilemmas, regulatory ambiguity, and potential overdependence on AI can undermine trust and legitimacy if not carefully managed. These risks emphasize the necessity of a balanced approach, where AI is seen as a complement rather than a substitute for human judgment.

The cause–effect analysis further demonstrates that the integration of AI in strategic decision-making is shaped by both technological and organizational drivers. While the effects include enhanced competitiveness, improved foresight, and cultural transformation, they also encompass ethical challenges, job restructuring, and new governance demands. This duality reflects the complex reality of AI adoption: a source of opportunities and risks that must be carefully weighed in strategic planning.

In conclusion, AI should be understood as a strategic partner in management, offering organizations a critical advantage in volatile and uncertain environments. Its successful application depends on the ability of managers to combine computational precision with human intuition, ethical oversight, and contextual awareness. The future of strategic decision-making will be characterized by hybrid intelligence—where human creativity and values are integrated with AI's predictive and analytical power. This synthesis represents not only a technological evolution but also a managerial and cultural transformation, shaping the next era of organizational strategy.

## REFERENCES

1. Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.
2. Antons, D., & Breidbach, C. F. (2018). Big data, big insights? Advancing service innovation and design with machine learning. *Journal of Service Research*, 21(1), 17–39. available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670517738373>
3. Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W.W. Norton.
4. Deloitte. (2020). *AI and strategic decision-making: The future of business leadership*. Deloitte Insights.
5. Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2019). Artificial intelligence for decision making in the era of big data: Evolution, challenges and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 48, 63–71. available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.01.021>
6. McKinsey Global Institute. (2018). *Notes from the AI frontier: Insights from hundreds of use cases*. McKinsey & Company.
7. Mikalef, P., Krogstie, J., Pappas, I. O., & Pavlou, P. A. (2020). Exploring the relationship between big data analytics capability and competitive performance: The mediating roles of dynamic and operational capabilities. *Information & Management*, 57(2), 103169. available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.103169>
8. Shollo, A., & Galliers, R. D. (2016). Towards an understanding of the role of business intelligence systems in organisational knowing. *Information Systems Journal*, 26(4), 339–367. available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12071>
9. Shrestha, Y. R., Ben-Menahem, S. M., & von Krogh, G. (2021). Organizational decision-making structures in the age of artificial intelligence. *California Management Review*, 63(4), 40–62. available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00081256211003387>
10. Shrestha, Y. R., Krishna, V., & von Krogh, G. (2021). Augmenting organizational decision-making with deep learning algorithms: Principles, promises, and pitfalls. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 588–603. available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.042>
11. Wilson, H. J., & Daugherty, P. R. (2018). *Human + machine: Reimagining work in the age of AI*. Harvard Business Review Press.
12. World Economic Forum. (2021). *Shaping the future of technology governance: Artificial intelligence and machine learning*. World Economic Forum.